

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/571,466	08/25/2006	Thomas Rueckle	285616US0PCT	6605	
03/09/2009 OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			WEDDINGTON, KEVIN E		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1614		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/09/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/571,466 RUECKLE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Kevin E. Weddington 1614 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 December 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 11.22-25 and 40-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 11, 22-25 and 40-43 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/571,466

Art Unit: 1614

Claims 11, 22-25 and 40-43 are presented for examination.

Applicants' request for reconsideration filed December 12, 2008 has been received and entered.

Accordingly, the rejection made under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 1,193,268 A1, hereby known as Halazy et al. taken in evidence with Bennett et al., Current Opinion in Pharmacology, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 420-425 (AS) of PTO-1449 at pages 3-4 as applied to claims 11, 22 and 25 is hereby withdrawn because of applicants' remarks.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein

Application/Control Number: 10/571,466

Art Unit: 1614

were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 11, 22-25 and 40-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over EP 1,193,268 A1, hereby known as Halazy et al. in view of Bennett et al., Current Opinion in Pharmacology, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 420-425 (AS) of PTO-1449 and further in view of Weber et al. (3.454.635).

Halazy et al. teach pharmaceutically active sulfonamide derivatives (same as applicants' claims 11 and 22). Note the instant compounds are effective modulators (inhibitors) of JNK pathway. Note on page 13, section [0088] shows the modes of administration of the instant derivatives such as oral, rectal, transdermal, subcutaneous, intravenous, intramuscular and intranasal. Note on page 36, lines 27 and 30 disclose the applicants' preferred compounds.

The instant invention differs from the cited reference in that the cited reference does not teach the said sulfonamide derivatives are used to treat type II diabetes. However, the secondary reference, Bennett et al., teaches the JNK pathways have a connection with insulin resistance and type II diabetes (see page 420, under JNK, TNK and insulin resistance). Also note page 422, column 1, second paragraph, states that the JNK pathway is a novel target for the treatment of diabetes and obesity. Finally, on page 423, column 2, first paragraph, states that JNK inhibitors have the potential to

Application/Control Number: 10/571,466

Art Unit: 1614

show long-term benefits in diabetes by protecting pancreatic islet cells from apoptosis, in turn, allowing increased insulin secretion and prevention of hyperglycemia (all conditions associated with type II diabetes).

The instant invention differs from the cited references in that the cited references do no teach the addition of the other supplementary drugs as set forth in claims 23, 24 and 40-42. However, the tertiary reference, Weber et al., teaches benzenesulfonyl-urea compounds such as glyburide, are well-known anti-diabetic agents.

Clearly, one skilled in the art would have assumed the combination of the two individual agents, well-known to treat type II diabetes into a single composition, would give an additive effective in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Claims 11, 22-25 and 40-43 are not allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin E. Weddington whose telephone number is (571)272-0587. The examiner can normally be reached on 12:30 pm-9:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571)272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin E. Weddington Primary Examiner Art Unit 1614

/Kevin E. Weddington/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1614